

## **Evaluation Standard 2 Guidelines**

The intent of this standard is for the college/university to study the overall success of the concurrent enrollment program through continuous quality improvement. The research should guide program improvement.

Please make sure to include and describe:

- Method of program evaluation
- How often it occurs/timeline
- The results and how the results are used to inform program improvement plans
- How outcomes are tracked to achieve the goals of the concurrent enrollment program
- How is the information communicated to relevant college/university and secondary leadership
- Copies of surveys or other research instruments

Samples of evaluations but not limited to:

- Analysis of student course, instructor, and program evaluations
- Student performance and matriculation into subsequent courses
- Transfer credit recognition
- Impact of matriculation
- Impact on degree completion
- Impact on school partners (If using partner surveys in E2, these surveys cannot be used as evidence in the Partnership Standard)
- Impact of program and processes on student success
- Grade distributions comparison
- Comparison of student success by delivery methods
- Impact of concurrent enrollment on high school graduation rates
- Overall student satisfaction

\*Please note, Professional Development and Orientation evaluations cannot be used here

Samples of data sources but not limited to:

- National Student Data Clearinghouse
- State or System-wide databases
- Internal data
- Survey or Focus groups of current students and alumni, partners, faculty liaisons, etc
- Data from transfer institutions that accept CEP credits

Please note that programs are still able to use the NACEP survey templates. If utilizing the 4-year out survey questions, please feel free to use at 3 years out if the information gathered is more beneficial for your program



## **Peer Reviewer's Rubric**

## The college/university conducts and reports regular and ongoing evaluations of the concurrent enrollment program effectiveness and uses the results for continuous improvement.

- 1. The evaluation can be based on a current focus area for the program or be a regular and ongoing evaluation of the program.
- 2. Methodology and impact metrics identified. Impact metrics may vary depending on the type of study.
- 3. If appropriate, analyses are disaggregated by student subpopulations of interest to assess differential impact (e.g., high school, gender, ethnicity, concurrent course title)
- 4. There is a formal communication system in place to disseminate findings to relevant secondary and post-secondary stakeholders.
- 5. There are evaluation questions that are driving research investigations into the concurrent enrollment program—its structures, processes, and outcomes.
- 6. There is evidence of continuous quality program improvement.